

On Thu, Mar 10, 2011 at 9:52 PM, Gordon Epperly <enter69@usa-the-republic.com> wrote:

Dear Senator Rand Paul

Thank you for sending me a response to my message. You are the only U.S. Senator to do so. I have Blind Copied (BCC) this message to many others who may be interested in what you have to say.

As you and others may know, I tried to inform the Alaska Legislature and the Members of U.S. Congress of the ineligibility of Barack Obama to hold the Office of President of the United States. I pointed out in my messages that the Birth Certificate is a none issue as there are no provisions in the U.S. Constitution that allows any woman or a none white citizen to hold any Public Office of the United States. I submitted an invitation for anyone to come forward and prove my understanding of the U.S. Constitution to be in error. There have been no takers.

For those who may not understand where I am coming from, I have attached a PDF File on "*Citizenship*" and this is written with the understanding that the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution was ratified, which is was not (*see attached "Proclamation"*). When you read this Document, please keep in mind that the U.S. Constitution is Law, not just any Law, but the Supreme Law of the Land. The U.S. Constitution is not a popularity contest and some may take offense in what it has to say about Citizenship. The U.S. Constitution has the same meaning as the day it was written and as such, it is not a "*living*" Document as claimed by many in Washington, D.C. (*Which is a statement that the U.S. Constitution isn't worth the paper it's printed upon*).

How Barack Obama and others who usurp the Public Offices of the United States may be removed from Office, I don't know. It is out of the question that we may expect the U.S. Attorney General or the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia to bring forth a "*Quo Warranto*" proceeding before the Federal Courts as provided by law and as mandated by their "*Oath of Office*" (*for they are also Public Office usurpers*) and I seriously doubt that anyone in the U.S. House of Representatives of Congress would bring forth "*Articles of Impeachment*" not to mention having the votes in the U.S. Senate to Impeach Barack Obama from Office.

Anyone with brilliant ideas? As it stands, the government of the United States is staffed with usurpers which leaves us with a de facto government to which we, the People, have no recourse.

Gordon Epperly
P.O. Box 34358
Juneau, Alaska 99803

Tel: [\(907\) 789-5659](tel:9077895659)

----- Original Message -----

From: Senator@paul.senate.gov
To: enter69@usa-the-republic.com
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 7:36 AM
Subject: A Message From Senator Rand Paul

March 10, 2011

Dear Mr. Epperly,

Thank you for taking the time to contact me regarding the Constitutional requirements to be President of the United States. I appreciate hearing your thoughts on this issue.

As you may know, the Constitution in Article II, Section 1 states the requirements needed for the president to take the oath of office. Specifically Article II, Section 1 states a person must be at least 35 years old, have been a resident within the United States for 14 years, and be a natural born citizen.

During the 111th Congress, Representative Bill Posey (R-FL) introduced legislation, H.R. 1503, to amend the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 to require a presidential candidate to present their birth certificate and all other documentation necessary to meet the qualifications for eligibility of the presidency under the Constitution. H.R. 1503 was referred to the House Administration Committee, but did not pass through the committee process before conclusion of the 111th Congress.

As a newly elected Senator of the 112th Congress, I was unable to participate in the committee process for this piece of legislation. Rest assured as this issue continues to be debated in the Senate, I will keep your thoughts in mind.

Sincerely,

Rand Paul, MD
United States Senator